

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/720,126	11/25/2003	Hiroyuki Maejima	245838US3	4379
22850	7590 01/28/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			KWON, JOHN	
	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3747	
			DATE MAILED: 01/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/720,126	MAEJIMA, HIROYUKI			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		John T. Kwon	3747			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE MAILING DATE OF TH - Extensions of time may be available up after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing. - If the period for reply specified above in the period for reply is specified above. - If NO period for reply within the set or extended.	IS COMMUNICATION. Inder the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 Inder the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 Index of this communication. Ind	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to commu	nication(s) filed on 22 No	ovember 2004.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	2b)☐ This	action is non-final.				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pe	s) is/are withdravallowed. ected. objected to.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is obje	ected to by the Examiner	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not reques	t that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
		on is required if the drawing(s) is ob aminer. Note the attached Office				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) All b) Some * c) 1. Certified copies of Copies of the certapplication from	☐ None of: of the priority documents of the priority documents rtified copies of the prior the International Bureau	s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive	ion No ed in this National Stage			
,						
Attachment(s)		_				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-8) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's 		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
Information Disclosure Statement(s Paper No(s)/Mail Date	• , , ,		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kashima (US 6 471 559).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kashima (US 6 471 559). Kashima discloses an outboard motor (10) comprising: an outer cover; an engine disposed inside the outer cover and comprising a crankcase, a cylinder block and a cylinder head, an intake provided for the engine and including a throttle body disposed in a vicinity of the crankcase, and an intake manifold (82) disposed on a side of the engine so as to extend from the throttle body, said intake manifold having a plurality of intake pipes (74) extending towards intake ports formed in the cylinder head to be connected thereto; and a fuel injector unit (94) disposed in substantially an intermediate portion of the intake manifold (col. 6, lines 5-15). The

Art Unit: 3747

fuel injector unit is placed in a space between a sidewall of the engine and the intake manifold. The difference between the prior art references and the instant invention are the location of the fuel supply unit. It would have been considered to be an obvious choice of mechanical design because one skilled in this art is familiar with basic fluid mechanic and normally has the laboratory test facilities. To optimize or select the suitable location for the fuel supply unit would be within the ability of ordinary skilled in this art.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed November 22, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The attorney argues that there is no teaching to provide the injector unit disposed in substantially an intermediate portion of the intake manifold. The examiner disagrees because Kashima apparently shows the location of the fuel injector unit, which is disposed in substantially an intermediate portion of the intake manifold. The attorney further argues that the structure of Kashima cannot deliver the fuel to the chamber in a completely atomizes state. The differences noted by applicants are not reflected in the claim language.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Art Unit: 3747

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John T. Kwon whose telephone number is (571) 272-4846. The examiner can normally be reached on Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Yuen can be reached on (571) 272-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

John T. Kwon

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3747